P3-2 | Defining the American Character J. HECTOR ST. JOHN DE CRÈVECOEUR, Letters from an American Farmer (1782)

In 1782, the same year de Crèvecoeur (1735–1813) published his famous *Letters from an American Farmer*, the Continental Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, including the Latin motto *E pluribus unum*, "out of many, one." But "one" what? Congress including the Latin motto *E pluribus unum*, "out of many, one." But "one" what? Congress including the Latin motto *E pluribus unum*, "out of many, one." But "one" what? Congress including the Latin motto *E pluribus unum*, "out of many, one." But "one" what? States including the Latin motto *E pluribus unum*, "out of many, one." But "one" what? Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, and the Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, and "one" what? Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, and "one" what? Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, and "one" what? Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, and "one" what? Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, and "one" what? Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, and "one" what? Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, and "one" what? Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, and "one" what? Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, and "one" what? Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, and "one" what? Congress adopted the Great Seal for the United States, and "one" what? "one" what?

I wish I could be acquainted with the feelings and thoughts which must agitate the heart and present themselves to the mind of an enlightened Englishman, when he first lands on this continent . . . a modern society offers itself to his contemplation, different from what he had hitherto seen. It is not composed, as in Europe, of great lords who possess everything, and of a herd of people who have nothing. Here are no aristocratical families, no courts, no kings, no bishops, no ecclesiastical dominion, no invisible power giving to a few a very visible one, no great manufacturers employing thousands, no great refinements of luxury. The rich and the poor are not so far removed from each other as they are in Europe. Some few towns excepted, we are all tillers of the earth, from Nova Scotia to West Florida. We are a people of cultivators, scattered over an immense territory, communicating with each other by means of good roads and navigable rivers, united by the silken bands of mild government, all respecting the laws, without dreading their power, because they are equitable. We are all animated with the spirit of an industry which is unfettered and unrestrained, because each person works for himself. If he travels through our rural districts he views not the hostile castle, and the haughty mansion, contrasted with the clay-built hut and miserable cabin, where cattle and men help to keep each other warm, and dwell in meanness, smoke, and indigence. A pleasing uniformity of decent competence appears throughout our habitations. . . . We have no princes, for whom we toil, starve, and bleed: we are the most perfect society now existing in the world. Here man is free as he ought to be; nor is this pleasing equality so transitory as many

In this great American asylum, the poor of Europe have by some means met together, and in consequence of various causes; to what purpose should they ask one another what countrymen they are? Alas, two thirds of them had no country. Can a wretch who wanders about, who works and starves, whose life is a continual scene of sore affliction or pinching penury; can that man call England or any other kingdom his country? A country that had no bread for him, whose

Hector St. John Crèvecoeur, Letters from an American Farmer (New York: Fox, Duffield & Company, 1904), 48–50, 52–56.

205

fields procured him no harvest, who met with nothing but the frowns of the rich, the severity of the laws, with jails and punishments; who owned not a single foot of the extensive surface of this planet? No! urged by a variety of motives, here they came. Every thing has tended to regenerate them; new laws, a new mode of living, a new social system; here they are become men: in Europe they were as so many useless plants, wanting vegetative mould, and refreshing showers; they withered, and were mowed down by want, hunger, and war; but now by the power of transplantation, like all other plants they have taken root and flourished! Formerly they were not numbered in any civil lists of their country, except in those of the poor; here they rank as citizens. By what invisible power has this surprising metamorphosis been performed? By that of the laws and that of their industry. The laws, the indulgent laws, protect them as they arrive, stamping on them the symbol of adoption; they receive ample rewards for their labours; these accumulated rewards procure them lands; those lands confer on them the title of freemen, and to that title every benefit is affixed which men can possibly require....

What attachment can a poor European emigrant have for a country where he had nothing? The knowledge of the language, the love a few kindred as poor as himself, were the only cords that tied him: his country is now that which gives him land, bread, protection, and consequence: Ubi panis ibi patria,1 is the motto of all emigrants. What then is the American, this new man? He is either an European, or the descendant of an European, hence that strange mixture of blood, which you will find in no other country. I could point out to you a family whose grandfather was an Englishman, whose wife was Dutch, whose son married a French woman, and whose present four sons have now four wives of different nations. He is an American, who, leaving behind him all his ancient prejudices and manners, receives new ones from the new mode of life he has embraced, the new government he obeys, and the new rank he holds. He becomes an American by being received in the broad lap of our great Alma Mater. Here individuals of all nations are melted into a new race of men, whose labours and posterity will one day cause great changes in the world. Americans are the western pilgrims, who are carrying along with them that great mass of arts, sciences, vigour, and industry which began long since in the east; they will finish the great circle. The Americans were once scattered all over Europe; here they are incorporated into one of the finest systems of population which has ever appeared, and which will hereafter become distinct by the power of the different climates they inhabit. The American ought therefore to love this country much better than that Wherein either he or his forefathers were born. Here the rewards of his industry follow with equal steps the progress of his labour; his labour is founded on the basis of nature, self-interest; can it want a stronger allurement? Wives and children dren, who before in vain demanded of him a morsel of bread, now, fat and frolicsome, gladly help their father to clear those fields whence exuberant crops are to

¹"Where there is bread, there is my country."

arise to feed and to clothe them all; without any part being claimed, either by a arise to feed and to clothe them all; without an, restlered, either by a despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mighty lord. Here religion demands but little of despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mighty lord gratitude to God; can he minister and gratitude to God despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince, a rich abbot, or a mignty lotu. The despotic prince princ him; a small voluntary salary to the must there these? The American is a new man, who acts upon new principles; he must there these? The American is a new man, who acts upon new principles; he must there these? The American is a new man, who account there. From involuntary idleness, ser. fore entertain new ideas, and form new opinions. From involuntary idleness, ser. fore entertain new ideas, and form new opinion, he has passed to toils of a very vice dependence, penury, and useless labour, he has passed to toils of a very vice dependence, penury, and useless labour.—This is an American. different nature, reward by ample subsistence.—This is an American.

READING AND DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1. De Crèvecoeur's essays were first published in London for a European audience. De Crevecoeur's essays were morphologie. How did he explain to them what made Americans a distinctive people? Which themes did he emphasize?
- 2. How would you summarize de Crèvecoeur's understanding of American citi-zensnip: The number was point in a legal process of naturalization, what did he he meant more than completing a legal process of naturalization, what did he understand "becoming an American" to involve?
- 3. To what extent is de Crèvecoeur's construction of American identity relevant to contemporary Americans? Compare his views with your own.

P3-3 | Women's Right to Education in the New Republic JUDITH SARGENT MURRAY, On the Equality of the Sexes (1790)

The Revolution's legacy on reformers was both immediate and enduring. The growing emphasis on democratic ideals helped to shape cultural values, as did Jefferson's claim of inalienable rights to "life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness." Growing demands for a strong and democratic nation inspired reform efforts to eradicate slavery, promote religious revival and temperance campaigns, and expand women's rights. Judith Sargent Murray's essay, written in 1779 but published in 1790, decried gender inequalities and called for equal educational opportunities for women. This was a radical statement for the time and inspired later feminist efforts to advance women's rights.

Is it upon mature consideration we adopt the idea, that nature is thus partial in her distributions? Is it indeed a fact, that she hath yielded to one half of the human species so unquestionable a mental superiority? I know that to both sexes elevated understandings, and the reverse, are common. But, suffer me to ask, in what the minds of females are so notoriously deficient, or unequal. May not the intellectual powers be ranged under these four heads—imagination, reason, memory and judgment. The province of imagination hath long since been surrendered to us, and we have been crowned and undoubted sovereigns of the regions of fancy. Invention is perhaps the most arduous effort of the mind; this branch of imagination hath been particularly ceded to us, and we have been time out of mind invested with that creative faculty. Observe the variety of fashions (here I bar the contemptuous smile) which distinguish and adorn the female world: how continually are they changing, insomuch that they almost render the wise man's assertion problematical, and we are ready to say, there is something

Another instance of our creative powers, is our talent for slander; how ingenious are we at inventive scandal? what a formidable story can we in a moment fabricate merely from the force of a prolifick imagination? how many reputations, in the fertile brain of a female, have been utterly despoiled? [...] Perhaps it will be asked if I furnish these facts as instances of excellency in our sex. Certainly not; but as proofs of a creative faculty, of a lively imagination. Assuredly great activity of mind is thereby discovered, and was this activity properly directed, what beneficial effects would follow. Is the needle and kitchen sufficient to employ the operations of a soul thus organized? I should conceive not. . . . Are we deficient in reason? we can only reason from what we know, and if an opportunity of acquiring knowledge hath been denied us, the inferiority of our sex cannot fairly be deduced from thence. Memory, I believe, will be allowed us in common, since everyone's experience must testify, that a loquacious old woman is as frequently met with, as a communicative man. . . .

"But our judgment is not so strong—we do not distinguish so well."—Yet it may be questioned, from what doth this superiority, in this determining faculty of the soul, proceed. May we not trace its source in the difference of education, and continued advantages? Will it be said that the judgment of a male of two years old, is more sage than that of a female's of the same age? I believe the reverse is generally observed to be true. But from that period what partiality! how is the one exalted, and the other depressed, by the contrary modes of education which are adopted! the one is taught to aspire, and the other is early confined and limited. As their years increase, the sister must be wholly domesticated, while the brother is led by the hand through all the flowery paths of science. Grant that their minds are by nature equal, yet who shall wonder at the apparent superiority, if indeed custom becomes second nature.... At length arrived at womanhood, the uncultivated fair one feels a void, which the employments allotted her are by no means capable of filling. What can she do? to books she may not apply; or if she doth, to those only of the novel kind, lest she merit the appellation of a learned lady; and what ideas have been affixed to this term, the Observation of many can testify. Fashion, scandal, and sometimes what is still more reprehensible, are then called in to her relief; and who can say to what lengths the liberties she takes may proceed. Meantimes she herself is most unhappy; she feels the want of a cultivated mind.... Now, was she permitted the same instructors as her brother, (with an eye however to their particular departments) for the employment of a rational mind an ample field would be opened.... A mind, thus filled, would have little room for the trifles with

which our sex are, with too much justice, accused of amusing themselves, and they would thus be rendered fit companions for those, who should one day wear them as their crown. . . . Females would become discreet, their judgments would be invigorated, and their partners for life being circumspectly chosen, an unhappy Hymen would then be as rare, as is now the reverse.

Will it be urged that those acquirements would supersede our domestick duties. I answer that every requisite in female economy is easily attained; and, with truth I can add, that when once attained, they require no further mental attention. Nay, while we are pursuing the needle, or the superintendency of the family, I repeat, that our minds are at full liberty for reflection; that imagination may exert itself in full vigor; and that if a just foundation is early laid, our ideas will then be worthy of rational beings. . . . Should it still be vociferated, "Your domestick employments are sufficient"—I would calmly ask, is it reasonable, that a candidate for immortality, for the joys of heaven, an intelligent being, who is to spend an eternity in contemplating the works of the Deity, should at present be so degraded, as to be allowed no other ideas, than those which are suggested by the mechanism of a pudding, or the sewing the seams of a garment?...

Yes, ye lordly, ye haughty sex, our souls are by nature *equal* to yours; the same breath of God animates, enlivens, and invigorates us; and that we are not fallen lower than yourselves, let those witness who have greatly towered above the various discouragements by which they have been so heavily oppressed; and though I am unacquainted with the list of celebrated characters on either side, yet from the observations I have made in the contracted circle in which I have moved, I dare confidently believe, that from the commencement of time to the present day, there hath been as many females, as males, who, by the *mere force of natural powers*, have merited the crown of applause; who, *thus unassisted*, have seized the wreath of fame. . . .

The exquisite delicacy of the female mind proclaimeth the exactness of its texture, while its nice sense of honour announceth its innate, its native grandeur. And indeed, in one respect, the preeminence seems to be tacitly allowed us; for after an education which limits and confines, and employments and recreations which naturally tend to enervate the body, and debilitate the mind; after we have from early youth been adorned with ribbons, and other gewgaws, dressed out like the ancient victims previous to a sacrifice, being taught by the care of our parents in collecting the most showy materials that the ornamenting our exterious aucht to be the riour ought to be the principal object of our attention; after, I say, fifteen years thus spent, we are introduced into the world, amid the united adulation of every beholder. . . . It is expected that with the other sex we should commence immediate war, and that we should commence immediate war. We must be constantly and that we should triumph over the machinations of the most artful. We must be constantly upon our guard; prudence and discretion must be our characteristiks; and we must be our characteristiks; and we must be our over those who have been less superiour to, and obtain a complete victory over those who have been long adding to the native strength of their minds, by an unremitted study of managined from the loose characters sale is a strength of the native strength of their incorporative from the loose characters sale is a strength of the native strength of their incorporative from the loose characters sale is a strength of the native strength of their incorporative from the loose characters sale is a strength of the native strength of their incorporative from the loose characters sale is a strength of the native strength of their incorporative from the loose characters sale is a strength of the native strength of their incorporative from the loose characters sale is a strength of the native strength of their incorporative from the loose characters sale is a strength of the native strength of the from the loose characters which they have seen portrayed in the extensive variety of their reading a most sand ety of their reading, a most contemptible opinion of the sex. . . . If we are allowed

an equality of acquirements, let serious studies equally employ our minds, and we will bid our souls arise to equal strengths. We will meet upon even ground, the despot man; we will rush with alacrity to the combat, and, crowned by success, we shall then answer the exalted expectations, which are formed. Though sensibility, soft compassion, and gentle commiseration, are inmates in the female bosom.... If we meet an equal, a sensible friend, we will reward him with the hand of amity, and through life we will be assiduous to promote his happiness; but from every deep laid scheme, for our ruin, retiring into ourselves, amid the flowery paths of science, we will indulge in all the refined and sentimental pleasures of contemplation: And should it still be urged, that the studies thus insisted upon would interfere with our more peculiar department, I must further reply, that early hours, and close application, will do wonders; and to her who is from the first dawn of reason taught to fill up time rationally, both the requisites will be easy. I grant that niggard [stingy or selfish] fortune is too generally unfriendly to the mind; and that much of that valuable treasure, time, is necessarily expended upon the wants of the body; but it should be remembered; that in embarrassed circumstances our companions have as little leisure for literary improvements, as is afforded to us; for most certainly their provident care is at least as requisite as our exertions. . . . In high life, or, in other words, where the parties are in possession of affluence, the objection respecting time is wholly obviated, and of course falls to the ground. . . . But in one respect, O ye arbiters of our fate! we confess that the superiority is indubitably yours; you are by nature formed for our protectors; we pretend not to vie with you in bodily strength; upon this point we will never contend for victory. Shield us then, we beseech you, from external evils, and in return we will transact your domestick affairs. Yes, your, for are you not equally interested in those matters with ourselves? Is not the elegancy of neatness as agreeable to your sight as to ours; is not the well favoured viand equally delightful to your taste; and doth not your sense of hearing suffer as much, from the discordant sounds prevalent in an ill regulated family, produced by the voices of children and many et ceteras?

CONSTANTIA.

READING AND DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

1. How important were the democratic ideals of the period to shaping Murray's argument about the inequality of the sexes, which she experienced? What does her argument suggest about her vision for the new nation?

2. Who do you think Murray's audience is for this essay? What effect do you think

she expected to have on the cultural values of her day?

3. Assess the historical significance of Murray's essay as an artifact of the lateeighteenth-century debate over democratic ideals and national identity.