Federalist No. 51

TO WHAT expedient, then, shall we finally resort, for maintaining in practice the necessary partition of power among the several departments, as laid down in the Constitution? The only answer that can be given is, that as all these exterior provisions are found to be inadequate, the defect must be supplied, by so contriving

the interior structure of the government as that its several constituent parts may relations, be the means of keeping each other in their property of this is property. the interior structure of the government as unat meet parts may by their mutual relations, be the means of keeping each other in their parts may be their propertions which may perhaps import by their mutual relations, be the means of keeping by their mutual relations, be the means of keeping by their mutual relations, be the means of keeping by their mutual relations, be the means of keeping by their mutual relations, be the means of keeping their their property places. Without presuming to undertake a full development of their property places. Without presuming to undertake a full development of their property propert by their mutual relations, places. Without presuming to undertake a run december of the principles it in a convention. In order to the principles a second place it in a convention. In order to the principles a second place it in a convention. idea, I will hazard a few general observations, which is a few general observations of the principle of the principle of the different principle clearer light, and enable us to form a more content, structure of the government planned by the convention. In order to lay a due to be a supported to be a structure of the government planned by the foundation for that separate and distinct exercise of the different powers of due to be essential to be essential to be essential to be the control of the con foundation for that separate and distinct excession foundation for that separate and distinct excession all hands to be essential to the essen ernment, which to a certain extent is auditive preservation of liberty, it is evident that each department should have a will of the preservation of each should be so constituted that the members preservation of liberty, it is evident that each are own; and consequently should be so constituted that the members of each should of the members of the option of the op own; and consequently should be so constituted have as little agency as possible in the appointment of the members of the others. have as little agency as possible in the appoint.

Were this principle rigorously adhered to, it would require that all the appoint.

Were this principle rigorously adhered to, it would require that all the appoint appoint. Were this principle rigorously agnered to, ... ments for the supreme executive, legislative, and judiciary magistracies should ments for the supreme executive, legislative, and judiciary magistracies should ments for the supreme executive, regionally, the people, through channels should be drawn from the same fountain of authority, the people, through channels have with one another. Perhaps such a plan of be drawn from the same fountain or authors, ing no communication whatever with one another. Perhaps such a plan of continuous would be less difficult in practice than: ing no communication whatever with one and some addie of constructing the several departments would be less difficult in practice than it may in contemplation appear. Some difficulties, however, and some additional in contemplation appear. Some unitary, expense would attend the execution of it. Some deviations, therefore, from the constitution of the judiciary department. expense would attend the execution of the judiciary department in principle must be admitted. In the constitution of the judiciary department in particular, it might be inexpedient to insist rigorously on the principle: first, because peculiar qualifications being essential in the members, the primary consideration ought to be to select that mode of choice which best secures these qual. ifications; secondly, because the permanent tenure by which the appointments are held in that department, must soon destroy all sense of dependence on the authority conferring them. It is equally evident, that the members of each depart. ment should be as little dependent as possible on those of the others, for the emoluments annexed to their offices. Were the executive magistrate, or the judges, not independent of the legislature in this particular, their independence in every other would be merely nominal. But the great security against a gradual concentration of the several powers in the same department, consists in giving to those who administer each department the necessary constitutional means and personal motives to resist encroachments of the others. The provision for defense must in this, as in all other cases, be made commensurate to the danger of attack. Ambition must be made to counteract ambition. The interest of the man must be connected with the constitutional rights of the place. It may be a reflection on human nature, that such devices should be necessary to control the abuses of government. But what is government itself, but the greatest of all reflections on human nature? If men were angels, no government would be necessary. If angels were to govern men, neither external nor internal controls on government would be necessary. In framing a government which is to be administered by men over men, the great difficulty lies in this: you must first enable the government to control the governed; and in the next place oblige it to control itself. A dependence on the people is, no doubt, the primary control on the government; but experience has taught mankind the necessity of auxiliary precautions. This policy of supplying, by opposite and rival interests, the defect of better motives, might be

traced through the whole system of human affairs, private as well as public. We traced through the subordinate distributions of power, where see it partieus.

see it partieus in the subordinate distributions of power, where the constant aim is to divide and arrange the several offices in such a manner as the constant the constant arrange the several offices in such a manner as that each may be a sentinel over the public siel. that each may be a sentinel over the public rights. These inventions of prudence vidual may vidual may requisite in the public rights. These inventions of prudence cannot be less requisite in the distribution of the supreme powers of the State. gut it is not possible to give to each department an equal power of self-defense. But it is not republican government, the legislative authority necessarily predominates. In republicant of the registrative authority necessarily predominates. The remedy for this inconveniency is to divide the legislature into different the relices, and to render them, by different modes of election and different prinbranches, and ciples of action, as little connected with each other as the nature of their common ciples of actions, ciples of actions and their common dependence on the society will admit. It may even be necessary to guard against dangerous encroachments by still further precaube necessary to some dangerous encroachments by still further precautions. As the weight of the legislative authority requires that it should be thus divided, the weakness of the executive may require, on the other hand, that it should be fortified. An absolute negative on the legislature appears, at first view, to be the natural defense with which the executive magistrate should be armed. But perhaps it would be neither altogether safe nor alone sufficient. On ordinary occasions it might not be exerted with the requisite firmness, and on extraordinary occasions it might be perfidiously abused. May not this defect of an absolute negative be supplied by some qualified connection between this weaker department and the weaker branch of the stronger department, by which the latter may be led to support the constitutional rights of the former, without being too much detached from the rights of its own department? If the principles on which these observations are founded be just, as I persuade myself they are, and they be applied as a criterion to the several State constitutions, and to the federal Constitution it will be found that if the latter does not perfectly correspond with them, the former are infinitely less able to bear such a test. There are, moreover, two considerations particularly applicable to the federal system of America, which place that system in a very interesting point of view. First. In a single republic, all the power surrendered by the people is submitted to the administration of a single government; and the usurpations are guarded against by a division of the government into distinct and separate departments. In the compound republic of America, the power surrendered by the people is first divided between two distinct governments, and then the portion allotted to each subdivided among distinct and separate departments. Hence a double security arises to the rights of the people. The different governments will control each other, at the same time that each will be controlled by itself. Second. It is of great importance in a republic not only to guard the society against the oppression of its rulers, but to guard one part of the society against the injustice of the other part. Different interests necessarily exist in different classes of citizens. If a majority be united by a common interest, the rights of the minority will be insecure. There are but two methods of providing against this evil: the one by creating a will in the community independent of the majority—that is, of the society itself; the ^{other}, by comprehending in the society so many separate descriptions of citizens

as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority of the whole very improbable and the whole very improbable as will be a majority of the whole very improbable and the whole very improbable and the whole v as will render an unjust combination of a majority and a majority improbable as will render an unjust combination of a majority and governments possessing and if not impracticable. The first method prevails in all governments possessing and in the proposition of a majority in all governments possessing and in the probable and in the proposition of a majority in all governments possessing as well as precarious security. This, at best, is but a precarious security in the probable and in all governments possessing and all governments possessin as will render an unjust comband prevails in an object possessing of the first method prevails in an object possessing of the impracticable. The first method prevails in an object possessing of the society may as well espouse the unjust view; the minor party, and may be rendered the minor party, and may be rendered to the minor party. if not impracticable. The first authority. This, at best, at best and security hereditary or self-appointed authority. This, at best, at b hereditary or self-appoints with society may and may possibly because a power independent of the society may and may possibly be of the major, as the rightful interests of the minor party, and may possibly be of the major, as the rightful interests of the minor party, and may possibly be of the major, as the rightful interests of the minor party, and may possibly be of the major, as the rightful interests of the minor party, and may possibly be because a power mucpetion because a power mucpetion of the major, as the rightful interests of the major, as the rightful interests. The second method will be exemplified in the federal turned against both parties. Whilst all authority in it will be derived from the major, as the rightful interests of the major in the ma of the major, as the 18-18 the second method to the major, as the 18-18 the federal turned against both parties. The second method in it will be derived from and republic of the United States. Whilst all authority in it will be derived from and republic of the United States. Whilst all authority in it will be derived from and republic of the United States. republic of the United States. Whilst all authors, republic of the United States. Whilst all authors, the broken into so many parts, dependent on the society, the society itself will be broken into so many parts, dependent on the society, that the rights of individuals, or of the minority, dependent on the society, the society lise individuals, or of the minority, interests, and classes of citizens, that the rights of individuals, or of the minority interests, and classes of citizens, that the rights of individuals, or of the minority. In a composition of the majority. dependent of the minority, interests, and classes of citizens, that the lights of the majority. In a free will be in little danger from interested combinations of the majority. In a free will be in little danger from civil rights must be the same as that for reliable will be in little danger from interested control will be in little danger from interested to the same as that for religious government the security for civil rights must be the same as that for religious government the security for civil rights must be the same as that for religious government the security for civil rights into government the security for civil rights. It consists in the one case in the multiplicity of interests, and in the other in rights. It consists in the one case in the multiplicity of sects. The degree of security in both cases will depend on the the multiplicity of sects. The degree of security in both cases will depend on the the multiplicity of sects. The degree of sectary
the multiplicity of sects and sects; and this may be presumed to depend on the extent number of interests and sects; and this hay be interests and sects; and this hay be interests and sects; and this hay be interested and sects and the section and the section and this hay be interested as a section and the section and of country and number of people completed of republican government, since it is to be subject must particularly recommend a proper federal system to This view of the subject must particularly republican government, since it shows all the sincere and considerate friends of republican government, since it shows all the sincere and considerate friends of the Union may be formed into more that in exact proportion as the territory of the Union may be formed into more that in exact proportion as the territory of the more circumscribed Confederacies, or States oppressive combinations of a majority will be facilitated: the best security, under the republican forms, for the rights of every class of citizens, will be diminished: and consequently the stability and independence of some member of the government, the only other security, must be proportionately increased. Justice is the end of government. It is the end of civil society. It ever has been and ever will be pursued until it be obtained, or until liberty be lost in the pursuit. In a society under the forms of which the stronger faction can readily unite and oppress the weaker, anarchy may as truly be said to reign as in a state of nature, where the weaker individual is not secured against the violence of the stronger; and as, in the latter state, even the stronger individuals are prompted, by the uncertainty of their condition, to submit to a government which may protect the weak as well as themselves; so, in the former state, will the more powerful factions or parties be gradually induced, by a like motive, to wish for a government which will protect all parties, the weaker as well as the more powerful. It can be little doubted that if the State of Rhode Island was separated from the Confederacy and left to itself, the insecurity of rights under the popular form of government within such narrow limits would be displayed by such reiterated oppressions of factious majorities that some power altogether independent of the people would soon be called for by the voice of the very factions whose misrule had proved the necessity of it. In the extended republic of the United States, and among the great variety of interests, parties, and sects which it embraces, a coalition of a majority of the whole society could seldom take place on any other principles than those of justice and the general good; whilst there being thus less danger to a minor from the will of a major party, there must be less pretext, also, to provide for the security of the former, by words, a will independent on the latter, or, in other words, a will independent of the society itself. It is no less certain than it is

important, notwithstanding the contrary opinions which have been entertained, that the larger the society, provided it lie within a practical sphere, the more duly capable it will be of self-government. And happily for the REPUBLICAN CAUSE, the practicable sphere may be carried to a very great extent, by a judicious modification and mixture of the FEDERAL PRINCIPLE.

READING AND DISCUSSION QUESTIONS

- 1. Many at the time feared the divisive impact of factions, and some critics sugasted these self-interested political groups would be fatal to a republic as large cerns about factions? What unorthodox remedy does he propose?
- 2. In Federalist No. 51, Madison addresses another concern: the danger of a concentration of power in the national government. How does Madison explain the Constitution's solution to this problem?
- 3. In the same article, Madison argues, "if men were angels, no government would be necessary." Clearly, Madison recognized man's fallibility. How did this view of human nature shape the way the founders constructed the new form of government under the Constitution?

■ COMPARATIVE QUESTIONS ■

- 1. To what extent did the language of rights and liberties prevalent during this period touch various groups within the colonies? How did they use that language for their own purposes?
- 2. How did ideas about government change as a result of the Revolutionary War experience?
- 3. How might the Constitution have read had Abigail Adams, Daniel Gray, and the enslaved Africans in Massachusetts been delegates to the Philadelphia convention?
- 4. Do you see the Revolutionary era more as a radical social movement (the people demanding liberty and equality) or a conservative political movement (colonists demanding respect for their traditional rights)? Explain.